

Ethical Implications of Artificial Intelligence in Scientific Research: Challenges and Considerations

Egbal Abbashar Algmar Almiky

Department of Pediatrics Nursing & Child Health , Faculty of Nursing Sciences , Al -neelain University, Khartoum , Sudan

الآثار الأخلاقية للذكاء الاصطناعي في البحث العلمي: التحديات والاعتبارات

اقبال ابشر القمر المكي

قسم ترميز الأطفال وصحة الطفل السليم ، كلية علوم التمريض ، جامعة النيلين ، الخرطوم ، السودان

* البريد الإلكتروني: aaalaaa2009@gmail.com

المستخلص:

غالبًا ما يُنظر إلى القرن الحادي والعشرين على أنه عصر الذكاء الاصطناعي (AI) ، مما يثير العديد من التساؤلات حول تأثيراته على المجتمع. إنه يغير بالفعل العديد من الممارسات في مختلف المجالات بشكل عميق، ولا يُستثنى من ذلك أخلاقيات البحث. بفضل قدراته القوية على الأتمتة والتنبؤ وتحليل البيانات، أصبح الذكاء الاصطناعي قوة تحويلية في البحث العلمي. ومع ذلك، فإن دمج الذكاء الاصطناعي في عمليات البحث يقدم مجموعة من التحديات الأخلاقية المعقدة التي تتطلب اهتمامًا عاجلاً. وتشمل هذه التحديات أسئلة حول من يكون مسؤولاً عن النتائج التي يولدها الذكاء الاصطناعي، وكيف يجب تحديد التأليف والمنح، وكيفية الحفاظ على خصوصية البيانات، وكيفية اكتشاف ومنع التحيز الخوارزمي وسوء السلوك العلمي. وبدون أطر أخلاقيات واضحة وإشراف مناسب، قد يؤدي استخدام الذكاء الاصطناعي إلى هدم نزاهة البحث، وتشويه ممارسات التأليف، والإضرار بالثقة العامة في العلم. يتناول هذا البحث القضايا الأخلاقية الرئيسية، بما في ذلك المساءلة، وخصوصية البيانات، وحقوق التأليف، والشفافية، والتحيز، وإمكانية سوء السلوك العلمي. يقدم البحث إطارًا منطقيًا للتعامل مع الاستخدام الأخلاقي للذكاء الاصطناعي في البحث، مستندًا إلى التحقيقات التجريبية الحالية والمعايير الأخلاقية. وينتهي بتسليط الضوء على ضرورة وجود رقابة تنظيمية صارمة، وحوكمة أخلاقية متعددة التخصصات، والانفتاح في الأساليب المدعومة بالذكاء الاصطناعي.

الكلمات المفتاحية: الذكاء الاصطناعي، البحث العلمي، الآثار الأخلاقية

Abstract:

The twenty-first century is frequently considered as the era of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and prompting numerous inquiries about its effects on society. It has already profoundly been changed various practices in different fields, and research ethics is no exception. With its powerful capabilities for automation, prediction, and data analysis, AI has become a transformative force in scientific research. However, the integration of AI into research processes introduces a range of complex ethical challenges that demand urgent attention. These include questions about who is accountable for AI-generated findings, how authorship and credit should be assigned, how to preserve data privacy, how to detect and prevent algorithmic bias and scientific misconduct. Without clear ethical frameworks and proper oversight, the use of AI could undermine research integrity, distort authorship practices, and compromise public trust in science. This article addresses key ethical issues, including accountability, data privacy, authorship, transparency, bias, and the possibility of scientific misconduct. The paper provides a logical framework for navigating the ethical use of AI in research,

drawing on current empirical investigations and ethical standards. It ends by highlighting the necessity of strong regulatory monitoring, trans disciplinary ethical governance, and openness in AI-assisted approaches.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Scientific Research, Ethical Implications

Introduction:

The advent of artificial intelligence (AI) has escorted in a new era in scientific research enabling accelerated data analysis, large-scale simulations, automated literature reviews, and even AI-assisted writing (Arar et al., 2025). At first glance, these capabilities promise to enhance productivity, foster novel insights, and democratize access to powerful computational tools (Arar et al., 2025). However, as AI becomes more deeply embedded in research workflows, it also raises pressing ethical and integrity-related issues that demand systematic attention (Arar et al., 2025; Zhang et al., 2021). For many researchers, the adoption of AI is not simply a technical choice but an ethical inflection point: if used carelessly, AI may undermine the foundational values of science such as transparency, reproducibility, fairness, and accountability (Arar et al., 2025; Ratti & Graves, 2022). One of the most serious concerns is algorithmic bias. Because AI systems learn from existing data, they may reproduce or even amplify existing biases in datasets, leading to skewed outcomes that misrepresent reality (Arar et al., 2025; Ethical AI in Big Data, 2025). In contexts such as medical research, ecology, social science or public-policy studies, such biases can have far-reaching implications, potentially reinforcing inequities or producing unreliable findings (Arar et al., 2025; Ethical AI in Big Data, 2025).

Closely related is the problem of “black-box” AI: many modern AI models especially deep learning and complex machine-learning systems operate in ways that are not fully transparent or explainable (Arar et al., 2025; Ratti & Graves, 2022). This opacity erodes reproducibility, undermines peer scrutiny, and challenges the norms of scientific accountability (Arar et al., 2025; Karim et al., 2022). Moreover, the use of AI-generated content raises thorny questions of authorship, credit, and responsibility: when AI contributes substantially to data analysis, interpretation, or writing, who should be credited and who bears responsibility for errors or misconduct? (Arar et al., 2025; Zhang et al., 2021)

Further, the rapid and often unpredictable evolution of AI tools has outpaced the development of robust ethical frameworks tailored to their use in research. Many existing guidelines remain at the level of abstract principles (e.g. fairness, transparency) without offering concrete, context-sensitive guidance for day-to-day research practices (Arar et al., 2025; Zhang et al., 2021). As a result, there is a growing consensus that the research community needs to develop explicit governance mechanisms — including documentation of AI usage, bias-mitigation strategies, transparent reporting, and stakeholder engagement — to preserve integrity and public trust in AI-augmented science (Arar et al., 2025; Ethical AI in Big Data, 2025).

Given these developments, the integration of AI into scientific research must be accompanied not only by technical proficiency but by ethical vigilance. Without deliberate efforts to build and enforce ethical and practical safeguards, the promise of AI risks being overshadowed by erosion of scientific credibility, equity, and social responsibility.

Ethical Issues in AI-Supported Research

1. Algorithmic Transparency and Explainability

Black Box Problem: Many artificial intelligence (AI) systems function as “black boxes,” meaning their inner workings are opaque and difficult to comprehend. This lack of openness can lead to accountability issues and mistrust. Explainable AI aims to increase the transparency and comprehensibility of AI systems for stakeholders and users, which is essential for fostering confidence and ensuring that AI decisions can be examined and contested (Doshi-Velez & Kim, 2017).

Pournaras, discusses how large language models and generative AI challenge core research ethics, including transparency, accountability, and integrity. The paper outlines risks such as

epistemological uncertainty and ethical integrity issues arising from using AI as both a tool and research subject, along with practical recommendations for ethical review approaches. (Pournaras2023).

Methods for Improving Transparency: Strategies to enhance transparency include designing AI models that provide clear justifications for their decisions, implementing standardized documentation procedures, and encouraging open-source AI development (Doshi-Velez & Kim, 2017). Due to this opacity, researchers find it challenging to assess fairness and reliability. Moreover, in sensitive domains such as social sciences, pharmacology, or genomics, bias in training datasets may intensify systemic disparities (Mehrabi et al., 2019; Buolamwini & Gebru, 2018).

2. Bias and Discrimination

AI systems learn from historical data, which risks perpetuating or amplifying discrimination if the data is biased or unrepresentative. This is especially problematic in fields like psychology and social research, which rely on accurate human-centered data (Obermeyer et al., 2019). Failures of fairness also complicate accountability, often referred to as the “problem of many hands” (Healy & Moody, 2014).

3. Data Privacy and Consent:

AI research frequently involves large volumes of sensitive or personal data. Electronic health records, genomic datasets, and behavioral data present significant privacy and informed consent challenges in health-related research (Gymrek et al., 2013; Vayena, Meskó & Gasser, 2018).

4. Accountability and Responsibility:

Determining who is responsible when AI produces flawed results—the developer, user, or institution—remains contested (Mittelstadt et al., 2016). Compounding this issue, AI systems can generate arbitrary errors even after extensive training (Marcus & Davis, 2020). Studies indicate that large language models such as ChatGPT can fabricate references and introduce inaccuracies, underscoring the need for human oversight (Lee, Kwon & Lee, 2023).

5. Authorship and Contribution Ethics:

Generative AI tools raise complex questions about authorship. Current ethical standards stress that AI cannot be listed as an author or co-author, as it lacks consciousness and accountability (Cahan & Cohen, 2023; Resnik & Master, 2013). However, transparent disclosure of AI involvement—such as in data analysis or text assistance—is necessary to maintain fairness and integrity (Solomon & Aagaard-Hansen, 2024). Some journals now require explicit AI-use declarations in manuscripts to ensure accountability.

6. Research Misconduct and Fabrication Risks:

AI can generate fabricated but realistic-looking data, making misconduct easier to commit. Researchers must remain vigilant to prevent such misuse (Gao, Yang & Wang, 2022).

7. Equity in Access and Participation:

Access to AI technologies is unevenly distributed and concentrated mainly in high-income countries. This imbalance risks widening existing global research inequalities (Floridi et al., 2018; UNESCO, 2021).

8. Dual-Use and Security Concerns:

AI methods developed for beneficial purposes can also be exploited for harmful ends, particularly in fields like biology, chemistry, or cybersecurity. This dual-use potential highlights the need for strict governance (Brundage et al., 2018).

A recent open-access article (2024) argues that while traditional ethical norms still apply, AI introduces **novel ethical issues** (bias, reproducibility, responsibility, and transparency). It proposes nine recommendations for responsible use, such as disclosing AI use, addressing bias, and engaging stakeholders. (Resnik et al 2025)

Recommendations and Way Forward

To ensure ethical AI use in research, the following actions are essential:

- Establish institutional AI ethics committees to oversee responsible practices.

- Develop standardized disclosure requirements so researchers report how AI was used (European Commission, 2023).
- Encourage transparency in communicating AI's role and limitations in accessible language.
- Restrict AI use to researchers with adequate training and ethical awareness.
- Consult affected communities to reduce bias and improve fairness. For example, inclusion of diverse datasets and stakeholder input improved the performance of AI skin cancer models across skin tones.
- Strengthen education on responsible research conduct, including AI ethics (IEEE, 2020).
- Prohibit AI from being recognized as authors or inventors, while ensuring acknowledgment of its contribution.
- Impose accountability on researchers who misuse AI for plagiarism, fabrication, or falsification.

Conclusion:

Artificial intelligence has become a powerful catalyst for scientific discovery, but its adoption raises significant ethical challenges. Issues of accountability, authorship, privacy, bias, transparency, and misconduct require urgent and systematic attention. This paper highlights the need for comprehensive regulatory frameworks and interdisciplinary oversight to preserve research integrity. Journals and institutions should promote open disclosure and foster a culture of transparency, fairness, and accountability. By proactively addressing these ethical dimensions, the scientific community can harness AI's potential while safeguarding its foundational values

References:

1. Arar H, Özen H, Polat G & Turan S, 2025. 'Artificial intelligence, generative artificial intelligence and research integrity: a hybrid systemic review', Smart Learning Environments, 12, Article 44.
2. Brundage M, Avin S, Clark J, et al., 2018. The malicious use of artificial intelligence: Forecasting, prevention, and mitigation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.07228.
3. Buolamwini J & Gebru T, 2018. Gender shades: Intersectional accuracy disparities in commercial gender classification. Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, 81, pp.1–15.
4. Cahan EM & Cohen A, 2023. Generative AI and authorship: Ethical considerations for scientific publishing. Accountability in Research, 30(8), pp.563–575.
5. Doshi-Velez F & Kim B, 2017. Towards a rigorous science of interpretable machine learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1702.08608.
6. European Commission, 2023. Ethics Guidelines for Trustworthy AI. Brussels: European Commission. Available at: <https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/library/ethics-guidelines-trustworthy-ai>.
7. Floridi L, Cowls J, Beltrametti M, et al., 2018. AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI society: Opportunities, risks, principles, and recommendations. Minds and Machines, 28, pp.689–707.
8. Gao C, Yang H & Wang Y, 2022. AI-assisted fabrication of scientific data: Risks and mitigation strategies. Science and Engineering Ethics, 28(4), pp.1251–1269.
9. Gymrek M, McGuire AL, Golan D, Halperin E & Erlich Y, 2013. Identifying personal genomes by surname inference. Science, 339(6117), pp.321–324.
10. Healy K & Moody J, 2014. Data visualization in sociology. Annual Review of Sociology, 40, pp.105–128.
11. IEEE Global Initiative on Ethics of Autonomous and Intelligent Systems, 2020. Ethically Aligned Design: A Vision for Prioritizing Human Well-being with Autonomous and Intelligent Systems. 2nd ed. Piscataway, NJ: IEEE.
12. Karim MR, Islam T, Beyan O, Lange C, Cochez M, Rebholz-Schuhmann D & Decker S, 2022. 'Explainable AI for Bioinformatics: Methods, Tools, and Applications', arXiv [preprint].
13. Lee C, Kwon O & Lee H, 2023. The reliability of references generated by large language models. Journal of Information Science, 49(1), pp.102–114.

14. Marcus G & Davis E, 2020. GPT-3, Bloviator: OpenAI's language generator has no idea what it's talking about. *MIT Technology Review*, 123(4), pp.44–51.
15. Mehrabi N, Morstatter F, Saxena N, Lerman K & Galstyan A, 2019. A survey on bias and fairness in machine learning. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 54(6), pp.1–35.
16. Mittelstadt B, Allo P, Taddeo M, Wachter S & Floridi L, 2016. The ethics of algorithms: Mapping the debate. *Big Data & Society*, 3(2), pp.1–21.
17. Obermeyer Z, Powers B, Vogeli C & Mullainathan S, 2019. Dissecting racial bias in an algorithm used to manage the health of populations. *Science*, 366(6464), pp.447–453.
18. Ratti E & Graves M, 2022. 'Explainable machine learning practices: opening another black box for reliable medical AI', *AI and Ethics*, 2, pp. 801–814.
19. Resnik DB & Master Z, 2013. Policies and ethical considerations for AI-assisted writing in research. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 19(2), pp.395–405.
20. Solomon J & Aagaard-Hansen J, 2024. Disclosure of AI use in research publications: A best practice guide. *Research Integrity and Peer Review*, 9(1), pp.12–25.
21. UNESCO, 2021. Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence. Paris: UNESCO. Available at: <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000377897>.
22. Venkat Sanka, 2025. 'Ethical AI in Big Data: Challenges in Bias, Fairness, and Transparency', *International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology*, 12(1), pp. 731–737.
23. Zhang B, Anderljung M, Kahn L, Dreksler N, Horowitz MC & Dafoe A, 2021. 'Ethics and Governance of Artificial Intelligence: Evidence from a Survey of Machine Learning Researchers', arXiv [preprint].
24. Resnik, D.B., Hosseini, M. The ethics of using artificial intelligence in scientific research: new guidance needed for a new tool. *AI Ethics* 5, 1499–1521 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-024-00493-8>
25. Pournaras, E. (2023). Science in the Era of ChatGPT, Large Language Models and Generative AI: Challenges for Research Ethics and How to Respond. [online] arXiv.org. Available at: https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.15299?utm_source=chatgpt.com.